

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 04/03/2024

Meeting number **CCAG027**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Date and time **28 February 2024 10:00-12:00**

Classification **Public**

### New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
<b>Horizon Scanning Log</b>	CCAG27-01	Ongoing action to understand and detail change post M6.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	27/03/24
<b>CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones</b>	CCAG27-02	Update the RAID log for Code Changes specifically related to CUSC (R536)	Programme (Andrew Margan)	27/03/24
<b>CDWG Update</b>	CCAG27-03	Cancel the March CDWGs as there is not enough material for the meeting, as agreed at CCAG.	Programme (PMO)	29/02/24

### Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
<b>Headline Report and Actions</b>	CCAG-DEC46	Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 28 February 2024 approved.
<b>CDWG Update</b>	CCAG-DEC47	Following CCAG approval, the March CDWGs have been cancelled due to there not being enough meeting content.

## RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

## Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
<b>Headline Report and Actions</b>	<p><b>DECISION:</b> Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 28 February 2024 approved (<b>CCAG-DEC46</b>).</p> <p><b>CCAG26-05:</b> the consultation has been completed and the solution is positive. Sub meters are being used for settlement are compliant and follows the same process as complex sites.</p> <p>TC, the independent supplier agent representative, asked whether the sub meters can come in and out of aggregation / MPANs being disconnected and reconnected. CD, the..., said that this is correct.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TC followed up by highlighting the potential issues of this method for industry to complete in a timely and accurate manner.</li> <li>• CD responded that Elexon considered two options, the customer in and out scheme, that de-energised meters going into the scheme, or to disconnect MPANs. There was a consensus that there was more risk in logically de-energising in case there were changes and the meter went out of sync.</li> <li>• The Chair clarified that the Programme was migrating de-energised meters but not disconnected meters, and all MPANs on that arrangement will need to be migrated at the same time. CD replied that there was only one MPAN, and when it is disconnected, they would not be migrated.</li> <li>• MH queried if this will be picked up in a CR that may need to be raised. CD replied that this is not adding anything to the design, the additions to BSC502 will need to be reflected in BSC702 as document management. The assumption is there is no impact on the programme.</li> <li>• TC asked if the Working Group considered using market wide half-hourly capability to keep the MPAN in place and migrating data in MDS to avoid risks. CD responded that this was not discussed in any real detail. The change needs to be made by September 2024 due to the Sandbox trial ending. TC expressed the view that this leaves a poor solution in place when market wide half-hourly gives the opportunity for more sophisticated solutions.</li> </ul>
<b>Horizon Scanning Log</b>	<p>JL, the DCUSA representative stated there were no changes from DCUSA; no questions raised.</p> <p>HT, the RECCo representative, provided horizon scanning update on the REC changes: R0062, R0064, R0080, R0093, R0121 &amp; R0139.</p> <p>TC asked for details on the appeal. HT replied that the details cannot be shared, but the final change detail will include the queries.</p> <p>PS, the domestic supplier representative, asked if the appeal creates any risks with Migration, especially with the potential rejection this change has. The Chair replied that this could impact Migration, now the details can potentially resolve those. HT added there is an expectation for the Ofgem to rule on the appeal by April.</p> <p>LJ, the BSC Representative, provided Horizon Scanning updates on BSC changes: CP1589 has been withdrawn as it was rejected by DAG in February, as well as three Mods 466, P467 &amp; P468, and two CPs CP1592 &amp; CP1593, have been raised.</p>

	<p>CH, the Supplier Agent Representative, asked if there are any lessons learnt from this, especially being mindful of the effort required for these appeals. LJ replied that there was a strong majority support for this, and there has been greater learning of change freeze processes. There is a risk if a PP requires a role code later in the Programme. There were impacts on DTN, and it took a while for new information to be released to identify the root cause of this issue. CH highlighted the lack of justification as the same questions were being asked at each stage. TC added that the conversation around additional role codes has been ongoing for a few years, there are some allocated but of no value to use. There could be solutions to free up role codes in the next couple of years before a finite solution is introduced.</p> <p>MH had nothing additional to add, as it was raised in the previous slides.</p>
<p><b>CDWG Escalations</b></p>	<p>No escalations from CDWG.</p>
<p><b>CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones</b></p>	<p>AM provided an update on the CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones.</p> <p>Once the consultation ends on 11 March, the finalisation and consistency check work will be temporarily halted and will restart after resolving the consultation questions.</p> <p>CH raised there is likely to be some changes required after testing as well as after Sandbox testing, there needs to be acceptance that not every element is tested under Qualification between PPs particularly in Sandbox. AM replied that the Programme had not considered that element and will pick up with the Migration team. If anything is unearthed in the process, they will identify timelines with code drafting timescales.</p> <p><b>ACTION:</b> Ongoing action to understand and detail change post M6 (<b>CCAG28-01</b>).</p> <p>AW raised that November is when Ofgem are due to take the decision based on the agreed CR. PPs will need to work back through the process to identify when the last date the change to code drafting can be in. There will be a code drafting activity process of taking change through a Programme, followed by a consultation, to ensure confidence of getting the code drafting in text. This should be before November, but unsure of the exact date, which increases the risk.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AW asked what the November SCR decision means in their ability to incorporate code drafting changes.</li> <li>• AM replied that trying to make assumptions now may be too early. There are communications with the testing team and think that most/everything will have been identified by August, however there is the risk of change.</li> <li>• AW acknowledged the response and added the approach requires more thinking to ensure confidence.</li> <li>• CM replied that would be nice to have a programme CR where everything can be added to it and acknowledge if more flexibility is needed.</li> </ul> <p>LJ asked if a CR Mod will need to start after M6 in August, a programme CR will impact / require a second CR Mod, the timings need to be thought upon. Once the SCR Mod has been approved, there needs to be greater insight into the governance, for example what are the processes to amend the legal baseline, and how does that interact with change governance. AM replied that the Programme is going through the BSC code artefacts and risk scoring them, this highlights the artefacts are most impacted by design changes. LJ responded that in theory this makes sense but unsure how this will play out in practice.</p> <p><b>ACTION:</b> Update the RAID log for Code Changes specifically related to CUSC (R536) (<b>CCAG28-03</b>). This action arose from a question from ND, the CUSC Representative,</p> <p>JL raised that once Ofgem submit the Mod and CR which apply from 28 August 2024, any open CRs will need to go through that process again, therefore that date should be considered not from after M7. As the change freeze is in November, there is a gap from M6 to November, which may result in a</p>

	<p>change submitted to each of the codes. AM replied that the programme is planning to take this to a joint Ofgem and Code Bodies meeting, where Ofgem may have a decision and any changes will be subject to programme change control process. Changes to amend the text may be an Ofgem SCR decision, but there is uncertainty of the mechanics of this process which is a risk.</p> <p>TC queried that there would need to be some thinking of what CD mentioned earlier about a change in early December and a decision change in November.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AM responded that this is part of the M8 activity, and it is planned that it is under SME guidance. The text will need to be 'knitted' together, and the programme is not aware of any text in a paragraph. For M8 activity, the programme will need to make sure the BAU changes and MHHS changes can be updated correctly.</li> <li>• TC asked for clarity on which rulebook will be followed at a particular time. AM replied that in the November release there is no MHHS activity, in the February release MHHS will be an extraordinary release.</li> <li>• JL was surprised to see 07 March as there was uncertainty if there was something significant on this date. AM responded this discussed in the consultation in January. February releases are quite high already and having an extraordinary release on the same day may be counterproductive.</li> <li>• JB added that the programme is not depending on the release for starting MHHS, but for customers to start seeing benefits. The intent is to have the extraordinary release to ensure some flex in the completion date.</li> <li>• JL raised that the standard release would keep some content out, implementing the half hourly documents a week later keep the workload manageable and manages risks. JB responded that the programme recognises the capacity elements of PPs.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Consequential Code Change Delivery</b></p>	<p>ND provided a CUSC update.</p> <p>ND spoke at the January CCAG, where they were notified by Ofgem to descope the CUSC from the market wide programme governance structure. A link was provided in the chat:</p> <p><a href="https://www.nationalgrideso.com/industry-information/codes/cusc/modifications/cmp430-adjustments-tnuos-charging-2025-support-market-wide-half-hourly-settlement-mhhs-programme">https://www.nationalgrideso.com/industry-information/codes/cusc/modifications/cmp430-adjustments-tnuos-charging-2025-support-market-wide-half-hourly-settlement-mhhs-programme</a></p> <p>Last Friday, ND attended the CUSC panel that presented two modification proposals CMP430, a charging proposal, and CMP431, a non-charging proposal. The panel requested urgency from Ofgem as a timeline is awaiting the decision, which should be received COP 29 February. There was a unanimous vote of support that the modification should be treated as urgent.</p> <p>CUSC are trying to achieve the timeline for this, the working group members period closes 29 February. Working groups will be conducted during March and April. The working group will be submitting the final modification proposals in June, to allow Ofgem to make the decision by 30 September. From Ofgem, they endeavour to make the decision as soon as practically possible, after receiving all modification documents. The decision is being made in advance of the market wide programme reaching M6, ahead of delivering code changes and approved before the Programme reaches August timelines.</p> <p>There will be peripheral changes to noncharging section, there will be some changes to the ToR for CUSC. Most significant charging recommendation is proposing to maintain the current changing methodologies, that segment customers by the new market wide half hourly data items that make up the P210 report, because of CR032 approval. The proposed position means that sites will be segmented between two methodologies, so leaving charging and non-charging details intact. The sites will be segmented using different data items as part of the MHHS design.</p> <p>The CUSC will also be aligned to the BSC, as measurement codes are no longer different to the BSC. Having discussed with Elexon, they are not going to raise a BSC Mod, and this will be included as part of the sweep up of how measurement classes are treated. CUSC also accepts, having discussed</p>

	at industry forums, this may not be the ideal solution and suspect there may be alternative working group solutions presented, and will need to go through governance process.
<b>CDWG Update</b>	<p>AM provided an update on CDWG, and proposed to cancel the CDWGs in March as there is not enough material in those meetings. The Mop-up 2 update can be potentially deferred to April. for CDWG2, the Programme will only have the consultation comments with no insight on triaging, and not enough time to turn that around for the meeting.</p> <p>JL queried the timings and if the consultation would have gone through the triage stage. AM replied that the consultation closes 11 March, and the triage process closes on 02 / 03 April. The deadline to get the statistics for CDWG1 in April will be tight, but the Programme has ambition to have enough information to have an update in April.</p> <p><b>DECISION:</b> Following CCAG approval, the March CDWGs have been cancelled due to there not being enough meeting content (<b>CCAG-DEC47</b>).</p> <p><b>ACTION:</b> Programme to cancel the March CDWGs following CCAG approval (<b>CCAG28-01</b>).</p>
<b>Licence Review</b>	Chair provided update on license review.

**Next CCAG: 27 March 2024 at 10:00am**

**Next CDWG1: 09 April 2024 at 10:00am**

## Attendees

### **Chair**

Chris Welby (Chair)

### **Role**

SRO Chair

### **Industry Representatives**

Andrew Green

Andrew Wallace

Christopher Day

Clare Hannah

John Lawton

Neil Dewar

Lawrence Jones

Paul Saker

Richard Vernon

Tom Chevalier

Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)

REC Representative

Elexon Representative

Supplier Agent Representative

DCUSA Representative

National Grid ESO Representative

BSC Representative

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

DCC Representative

Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent)

### **MHHS Programme**

Andrew Margan

Jason Brogden

Lola Gbadamasi

Matthew Hall

Navdeep Seira

Governance Manager

Industry SME

Senior Business Analyst

PMO Governance Lead

### **Other attendees**

Andy MacFaul

Harriet Truss

Mark DeSouza

Ofgem

REC Code Manager

Elexon